

# Focused Heat Source Geothermal Potential in Eastern Ohio

Regional public domain geothermal maps of Ohio show uniform subsurface temperatures across Ohio; however, geothermal gradients calculated from oil and gas well log measurements tell a different story. The mean calculated geothermal gradient for all wells is approximately 11°F/1,000 feet, but clusters of wells have geothermal gradients as high as 21°F/1,000 feet. The clustered elevated geothermal gradients indicate a deeper focused heat source that may have its origin in the Precambrian Basement. The fundamental questions are: 1) What is the heat source for the elevated geothermal gradients? 2) How can the heat sources be identified? 3) What is the range of temperatures that could be encountered at the heat source?

## **Geothermal Data Collection**

All available geophysical logs in eastern Ohio were reviewed for Maximum Recorded Temperature (MRT) or Bottom Hole Temperature (BHT) along with the depth of the temperature measurement. Geothermal Gradients were then calculated for each data point. The geothermal gradient dataset was subset into Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradient subsets. The Shallow Geothermal Gradient subset consist of wells with Total Depths below the Packer Shell, but not deeper than the Queenston Shale. The Deep Geothermal Gradient subset contains wells with Total Depths below the Trenton Limestone and above the Mt. Simon Sandstone. The stratigraphic extents of the Shallow (green shading) and Deep (red shading) Geothermal datasets are shown in Figure 1, the Generalized Column of Bedrock Units in Ohio.

There are 10,676 Shallow Geothermal Gradient data points with a mean geothermal gradient of 11.2°F/1,000 feet and 2,034 Deep Geothermal Gradient data points with a mean geothermal gradient of 10.7°F/1,000 feet. Data distribution of the Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradients is shown in Figure 2. The lower mean Deep Geothermal Gradient is due to the correlation between cooler Deep Geothermal Gradients and oil and gas production associated with the Post-Knox Unconformity.

Separating the geothermal gradient database into Shallow and Deep Geothermal subsets allows each to be mapped to confirm evidence of geothermal gradient acceleration/deceleration between the two subsets. The comparison of the Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradients maps helps define upward heat flow pathways, narrows the focused heat source search area, and allows a focused heat source temperature estimate using a Geothermal Gradient Acceleration Model.



## **Geothermal Gradient Mapping**

Regional mapping of the Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradients provides an aerial view of the clusters of high geothermal gradients. In areas with adequate data distribution, patterns of high geothermal gradients appear to coincide with J1 and J2 joint directions, an example is shown in Figure 3. This indicates that upward heat flow may occur along zones of weakness such as joints and faults.

Figure 4 is the Deep Geothermal Gradient map for the area referred to as the <u>GG Case Study</u> <u>Area</u>. The Deep Geothermal Gradient map has a 15°F/1,000 feet low cut contour. This map indicates that all Deep Geothermal Gradient values inside this contour exceed the mean Deep Geothermal Gradient by more than 4°F/1,000 feet. Also note the tight, linear nature of the high geothermal gradient. This is believed to be due to the fact that the rock type between the heat source and the Deep Geothermal Gradient depths is primarily brittle carbonate which is susceptible to jointing and faulting. Joints and faults in carbonates would provide a linear more direct pathway for heat flow.

Figure 5 is the Shallow Geothermal Gradient map for the GG Case Study Area. The Shallow Geothermal Gradient map has a 12°F/1,000 feet low cut contour, meaning that all Deep Geothermal Gradient values inside this contour exceed the average Deep Geothermal Gradient by more than 1°F/1,000 feet. Note the dispersed nature of the high geothermal gradient values when compared to the Deep Geothermal Gradient. This is due to the rock type between the Deep Geothermal Gradient depths and the Shallow Geothermal Gradients depths being primarily soft, malleable Upper Ordovician shale that is less susceptible to well defined, interconnected jointing and faulting consequently generating a more dispersed heat flow pattern.

Figure 6 is an overlay of the Deep Geothermal Gradient contours onto the Shallow Geothermal Gradient map for the GG Case Study Area. This overlay map provides an insight into upward heat flow between the Deep and Shallow Geothermal horizon. Contour patterns indicate that the upward heat flow between the Deep and Shallow high geothermal gradient anomalies is not vertical and the heat is moving upward along zones of weakness primarily in a northwest direction. This pattern of upward heat flow is observed in many places across eastern Ohio.

## **Precambrian Heat Source Imaging**

Geothermal Gradient mapping indicates that focused heat sources located in the Precambrian exist in Eastern Ohio. 3-D seismic can be used to search for and image the focused heat sources. Figure 7 is a 3-D seismic panel from the GG Case Study Area showing an interpreted hydrothermal vent that breaches the Precambrian Basement unconformity surface. This hydrothermal vent appears to have been active at the end of Precambrian time (Figure 8), and was later buried during Cambrian Mount Simon Sandstone deposition (Figure 9).



The hydrothermal vent identified in Figure 7 is shown in map view relative to the Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradients on Figure 10. The location of the vent implies a non-vertical heat flow path from the Precambrian Basement vent to the Deep formations and then upward to the Shallow formations in the northwest direction. The upward heat flow along the joints and faults is graphically shown in Figure 11.

A wide-angle view of the hydrothermal vent shown in Figure 12 indicates a larger complex of vents emanating from a deep heat source layer. The heat source layer appears to have a dense roof rock (seismic peak) with a less dense, hotter underlying layer (seismic trough). Hydrothermal vents originating from the heat source layer cut through Precambrian Basement layers as the hydrothermal fluids move upward toward the Precambrian Basement unconformity surface. Several of the hydrothermal vents do not reach the Precambrian Basement surface, but are truncated by horizontal layers below the Precambrian Unconformity. The truncation of the hydrothermal vents by older layers indicates that this hydrothermal system may have been active for more than 500 million years.

### Estimated Temperature Ranges at Precambrian Basement

Two methods were used to estimate the temperature at the hydrothermal vent (focused heat source) located at the Precambrian Basement. Each method is based on vertical heat flow, and will provide an estimated range of temperatures at the Precambrian Basement hydrothermal vent.

The first method is a straight projection of the Deep Geothermal Gradient value to the estimated measured depth of the underlying Precambrian Basement. The Deep Geothermal Gradient and estimated Basement measured depth are inserted into the Geothermal Gradient formula then solved for Basement Temperature. The maximum estimated Precambrian Basement temperature calculated using this method for the GG Case Study Area is <u>228 °F</u>.

The second method uses a Geothermal Gradient Acceleration formula to estimate the Basement temperature. In this Model the acceleration/deceleration between the Deep and Shallow Geothermal Gradients is used to project a Geothermal Gradient at the Precambrian Basement. The projected Basement Geothermal Gradient and estimated Basement Measured Depth are then applied to the Geothermal Gradient formula and solved for Temperature. The maximum estimated Precambrian Basement Temperature calculated with the second method for the GG Case Study Area is 268 °F.

From this, a range of maximum temperatures of 228 °F to 268 °F are estimated for the Precambrian Basement in the GG Case Study Area with all exceeding the boiling point of water.



## **Mining Heat**

The seismic imaging of a focused heat source geothermal vent could identify the path of a directional well to mine the heat (Figure 13). Deep penetration into the vent is believed to be possible due to hydrothermally altered rock being softer than the surrounding rock. Properly placed well bores drilled directly into a hydrothermal vent will allow increased contact time between the circulated fluid and the heat source in a closed loop system (Figure 14). A closed loop system in a cased well bore means no circulated fluids will come in contact Precambrian rock, mining the heat non-invasively.

### **Summary and Conclusions**

Geothermal Gradient mapping and 3-D seismic provide the pathway to defining potential focused heat hydrothermal vents for energy extraction. Several potential areas have already been defined using existing data. Extraction of heat from a hydrothermal vent system that has existed for over 500 million years could provide a long-term, scalable, low maintenance, low profile energy source that is minimally invasive while creating very little waste.



## **Geothermal Study Highlights**

Potential focused heat source areas can be identified using Geothermal Gradient mapping.

Mapped, elevated Deep Geothermal Gradient anomalies are most often linear in the J1 and J2 joint direction when adequate data is available. This is a result of the rocks between the Precambrian heat source and the Deep Geothermal Gradient depth being primarily carbonate.

Mapped, elevated Shallow Geothermal Gradient anomalies are more dispersed than Deep Geothermal Gradient anomalies. This is a result of the rock between the Deep Geothermal Gradient depth and the Shallow Geothermal Gradient depth being primarily shale.

Heat flow from the Precambrian hydrothermal vents is not vertical, but follows zones of weakness such as faults and joints.

Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradient subsets can be used to estimate a range of temperatures for a focused heat source.

Precambrian Basement temperatures for the GG Case Study Area are estimated to be between 228 and 268 degrees.

3-D seismic can be used to image hydrothermal complexes and vents.

Hydrothermal complexes can contain multiple laterally elongate vents that reach the Precambrian Basement surface.

Truncation of hydrothermal vents by older horizontal Precambrian layers indicate that Precambrian hydrothermal complexes may have been active for over 500 million years.

3-D seismic can be used to define targets and assist in developing procedures to mine heat.

Multiple laterally elongate vents in a hydrothermal complex may provide many drillable targets for scalable extraction of energy.

Closed loop heat extraction technology could provide a minimally invasive, long-term, scalable, low profile energy source in a region where existing energy infrastructure exists close to population centers.

#### **GENERALIZED COLUMN OF BEDROCK UNITS IN OHIO**

Dennis N. Hull, chief compiler, 1990 revised by Glenn E. Larsen, 2000

STATE OF OHIO BobTaft, Governor DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Samuel W. Speck, Director DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Thomas M. Berg, Chief

٦

'IME ars ent)	TIME-S GRA UN	TRATI- PHIC ITS		ROCK UNITS							
LOGIC T Illion yea	EM	SERIES	UP	FORMATIONS/SIGNIFICANT MEMBERS OR BEDS Units found in the subsurface only are indicated in <i>italics</i>							
GEC (m befc	SYST		GRO	SOLITHWESTERN OHIO	NOBTHW	ESTERN OHIO	NORTHEAST		SOUTHEASTERN C	ню	LOCAL/DRILLERS' NAMES
										-	
	PERMIAN	mpian	Dunkard	Figure 1: Generalized Column of Bedrock Units in Ohio with Shallow Geothermal Gradient formations shaded green and			Upper Marietta ss Crestor-Reds sh Washington Fm Washington coal Mannington ss				
		Wolfca									
										No. 12 coal	
286 -				Deen Geothermal Gradient formations			waynesburg ss				
				shaded red				M(	hum and		No. 11
		Virgilian	Monongahela					Uniont Benv Upper Se	own coal yood Is wickley ss		No. 10 coal Goose Bun
								Meigs C Fish Pome	Creek coal pot Is roy coal		No. 9 coal No. 8a coal
	'YLVAN IAN							Pittsbu	rgh coal		No. 8 coal
								Summ Casselman fm	erfield Is Isville ss		Mitchell
		_	dh					Ske	lley Is (m)		Wolf Creek
		Missouria	Conemau					A Salt Cov	mes Is (m) tsburg ss w Run ss		Peeker First Cow Run
								Glenshaw fm Cam Bu	ersville sh (m) hbridge ls (m) iffalo ss		Buell Run
								Mah Mah	oning coal noning ss		No. 7a coal Macksburg 300′
	PENNS							Unner Fre	enort coal		No. 7 coal
		moinesian	۶					Úpper Fre Lower Fre Washingt	eeport ss eport coal onville sh (m)		Second Cow Run No. 6a coal
			lleghei					Middle Kitta Columb Lower Kitta	anning coal iana sh (m) inning coal		No. 6 coal No. 5 coal
		Des	٩					Clario Putnan Brookvi	n coal h Hill Is (m)		No. 4a coal
		kan						Homew Upper M	rood ss lercer ls (m)		Macksburg 700'
		Atc	tsville					Lower M Lower M Bogg Massil	prcer coal gs ls (m) lon ss	$\sim\sim\sim$	No. 3 coal
		rrowan	Pot					Quakertown coal Lowellville Is (m) Sharon coal			No. 2 coal Brill Sand
320 -	$\sim$	Wo	$\sim$								Maxton, Lower Salt
		$\sim$	mecian							Ishville Sh	Jingle Rock
	MISSISSIPPIAN		sagear id Mera	Logan Fm	Coldwater Sh Sunbury Sh Berea Ss ?		? Logan Fm Allensitie Cong Mbr   Byer Sa Mbr Byer Sa Mbr   Black Hand Ss Mbr   Cuyahoga Fm Black Hand Ss Mbr   Sunbury Sh   Berea Ss			nton Ss Mbr sville Cong Mbr lyer Ss Mbr	Keener
		Kinderhookian	o erlan ar	Cuyahoga Fm						Abr Mbr	Big Injun, Squaw Weir Hamden
			Chest	Sunbury Sh						Coffee Shale	
			chautauquan	Berea Ss						First Berea	
360 —				Bedford Sh	E	edford Sh	Bedfo	rd Sh	Cussewago S	s	Second Berea
	DEVONIAN	_	_	၀ မ Ohio Sh	Ohio Sh		Ohic	Sh	Cleveland Sh I	Mbr br	Little Cinnamon Gordon
		?			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Antrim Sh			Huron Sh Mbr	ne Creek Mhr	Big Cinnamon
		Senecal	~	8 Olentangy Sh	unties			Olentangy Sh	West Falls Fm Ai	ngola Sh Mbr hinestreet Mbr	Upper Olentangy
			NW Ohi Travers		°	Silica Fm	6 Prout Ls Plum Brook Sh		Hamilton Grp	shale Marcellus Sh	Lower Olentangy
		Erian		<sup>8</sup> ////////////////////////////////////		Dundee Fm	Delaware Ls				Corniterous ш
		JIsterian	NW Ohio Detroit River	Hillshorn Co	Columbus Ls	Lucas Dol Amherstburg Dol	Columbus Ls		Unondaga Ls		Σ
408 ~				niiisuuro 3s	Cha	Sylvania Šs	ŧ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Bois Blanc Fm	$\sim\sim\sim$	-
	$\sim$	$\sim$	$\sim$			////	°°	~~~~~~	Uriskany Ss Helderberg Ls	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	FirstWater →
		Cayugan			auc			G un	Bass Islands Dol it - dolomite, shale, and anhydrit	e	
			Salina				ан	D and E u	F unit - halite and shale units - dolomite, anhydrite, halite,	and shale	(1)
					dolomite	dolomite	dolomite	Bu	C unit - shale and anhydrite nit - halite, shale, and anhydrite		-
				Tymochtee Dol	Tyn	nochtee Dol	Tymochtee Dol		A unit - dolomite and anhydrite		۵
	SILURIAN	aran	Lockport	Cedarville Dol	Gre	ielph Dol					Newburg or Second Water
				Springfield Dol	Fm / Dol Goat Island Dol		Lockport Dol			V t	
		Niagé	$\left  - \right $	Euphemia Dol     E     Disner rm       Massie Sh     0     0       Laurel Ls     0     E       Osgood Sh     E     Estill Sh		chester Sh		Rochester	Sh		Keeter Niagaran Shale
			5	Dayton Fm		Dayton Fm				Packer Shell Little Lime/Little Shell	
			tara	/	š  / /		/////	11111	/////	///	



Electronic drafting by Robert L. Stewart, Sr.

For a more detailed stratigraphic chart see Ohio Division of Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-2





Figure 2: Shallow and Deep Geothermal Gradient data distributions for Eastern Ohio.











G

Case Study Area covered in Figures 4, 5, 6, and 10.











Figure 12: Wide angle view of hydrothermal complex and vents inside the GG Case Study Area.



